Revenue.

(Including Survey and Settlements, etc.)

Subject No. 131.

Compulsory Survey classification and Settlement of Inam villages.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Advocate.

Summary of Representation.—Survey classification and settlement may be made compulsory in all the Inam villages. The extent of rights of the Inamdars in a village is of a very anomalous nature, and disputes as to this are of frequent occurrence and result in never ending litigation. The rights of co-sharers may be differentiated.

Reply of Government.—Compulsory Settlement without consent of all the Vrittidars is out of question. All may ask and get partition effected or they may go to Court. As to any particular hardship existing in the Shimoga District, the Deputy Commissioner will be consulted through the Revenue Commissioner.

Subject No. 132

Revision of Survey in Malnad not to take place

Mr. Nadig Sivappa of Sorab.

Summary of Representation.—It is requested that the Survey Settlement already introduced in Malnad may not be revised as the rights in lands in holding have already been vested in holders thereof.

Reply of Government.—This cannot be done.

Subject No. 133.

Revision of rate of wet assessment.

Mr. Gangaiya.

Summary of Representation.—The same rate of wet assessment is charged on lands under large tanks as well as small tanks. This is a loss to the raiyats. These rates may be revised with reference to the capacity of the tank.

Mr. Srinivasa Rao said that in the Malnad Taluks, lands may be resurveyed and remeasured.

Reply of Government.—One or two Malnad taluks will be first dealt with to see whether any revision is called for.

Subject No. 134.

Reduction of wet and garden assessment during the Survey revision.

Mr. Hutcheeregowda of Gubbi Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—Assessment on wet and garden lands being high may be reduced in the revision of Survey.

Reply of Government.—No general order can be given. The revision Survey Settlement will result in the rates being made equitable.

Subject No. 135.

Grant of extracts of Asarepathrikas, Tippanees and other survey records.

Mr. Ramanuja Iyengar of Gubbi Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—To prevent inconvenience to parties, extracts of Asarepathrikas and copies of Tippanees and other survey records may be granted on application as the practice has now been discontinued.

Reply of Government.—The rules at present require that copies of completed records alone should be given. The representation will however be considered.

Subject No. 136.

Substitution of stones for mud bandhs.

Mr. Guru Rao, Pleader.
" Chandrasekharaiya.
" Padmanna, Nanjangud.

Summary of Representation.—Stone bandhs should be substituted for mud bandhs both in dry and wet lands as the present mud bandhs soon get out of repairs and harbour snakes, etc., in fields abutting river banks.

Reply of Government.—Noted. The subject was much discussed before and the present rules are the result.

Subject No. 137.

Khalsat on Brahmadaya inam to be removed.

Mr. S. Subraya Bhattaru.
„ Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.
„ K. Srinivasa Rao.

Summary of Representation.—Khalsat amount on Brahmadaya inam lands should also be struck off as in the case of Devadaya inam lands and also on Nadig Inams.

Reply of Government.—It is not feasible to do anything more than what has already been done.

Subject No. 138.

Separation of Devadaya and Bramhadaya Inam lands.

Mr. Sivarajapur Subraya Bhattaru
„ Sarja Manjappa, Tirthahalli Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—Devadaya and Bramhadaya inam lands were in separate enjoyment for a long time. During the Survey Settlement both these inam lands have been grouped together and entered in one joint khate. This has caused much inconvenience and trouble for the levy of kandaya, etc. It is requested that these lands may be ordered to be sub-divided.

Reply of Government.—A report will be called for from the Survey Commissioner.

Subject No. 139.

Lands abutting the boundaries of Inam villages may be given to Inamdars.

Mr. Sivarajapur Subraya Bhattaru
„ Sarja Manjappa, Tirthahalli, Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The lands abutting the boundaries of villages which were granted as Inam have been noted in the Sannads originally granted by Rajas. These Inams have been approved of and confirmed by Government. During the Survey Settlement, the lands referred to were resumed by Government. It is requested that such lands may be given back to Inamdars.

Mr. Sivarajapur Subraya Bhattaru said that they had been presenting petitions from 1883 (date of Survey Settlement).

Reply of Government.—It is doubtful if an enquiry after the lapse of so many years will be beneficial. The Survey Commissioner will be asked to report upon the subject.

Subject No. 140.

Amendment of the Land Revenue Code.

Mr. Madappa of Mysore.

Summary of Representation.—The Land Revenue due to Government on Sirkar lands is a paramount charge on the holding as per Section 54 of the Revenue Code.

The Chief Court holds that the above section does not apply to lands in Inam villages on the ground that the Land Revenue Rules are silent on the point. The above rule may be modified so as to include Inam villages under its operation.

Messrs. C. Subba Rao, Venkatsubbaiya and Subraya Bhattaru spoke on the subject. The decision of the Chief Court applying three years' limitation to such recoveries was represented to cause hardship.

Reply of Government.—Section 97 of the Land Revenue Code is applicable to Inam villages. It does not appear clear whether the amendment is desirable. The matter will be investigated.